App. F. IX-4

1928. (Immigration)

File No. 105-28

Serial Nos. 29, 30, 33 & 34

Previous References
Imm 3 Dispose 60 of 25-27

Later References
Imm 432 5-2-29 1-4
148.32 (1-11)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 4

Part B for January 1930

SUBJECT

Petn. from Durvas Sah and others of Assikere complaining of the existence of a house of ill-reputable near their mosque and asking for its acquisition

Dse 1335 dated 6.1.1930

To the Imm Comr

Directs to take further action in the matter as proposed

General and Revenue Secretariat | Army File No. 105 | of 192 8

Serial No.	
29	Petn dated 6th May 1929 from Dawood Sale and others of Ahmedkhere Complaining of the existence of a house of disrepute near their mosque and asking for its acquisition. 1) The previous application on the subject was forwarded to the M.C. for disposal or report if necessary, with this office O/m No 472/Army 10.5-28-40 dt 28.8.29. 2 Dft dtd forwarding the present petition to the Municipal Comm for report to send for appl or other orders. P.S. 21/5/29 W 21/5 3 23/5 4 27/5
30	To The Mug Comm No 2669 dt 29.5.29 forwarding petn & calls for a report.

(Army Sch 60-28.) Flag Z.

W
W
7/6

WD 01681—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

Serial No.

33 Letter No 2008/28-9 dated the 11th/12th December 1929 from the Imperial Council in Lucknow submitting his report on the application of certain Inhabitants of Ansikhera asking for the acquisition of the house to the West of the masjid at the place, and stating, that for reasons given, that acquisition proceedings can only be taken if the Mahomedans contribute the requisite funds.

Submitted for orders.

5 It is reported that the Local Municipal Council are not prepared to meet the acquisition charges, and the Imperial Council is not willing to pay anything out of the State funds, and states that acquisition proceedings can be taken only if the Mahomedans contribute the requisite funds.

6 Even if the Mahomedans should pay the compensation amount required in connection with the acquisition, proceedings under the Land Acquisition

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

General and Revenue Secretariat — Revenue File No. 105 of 192 G.

Serial No.

Regulation cannot therefore be taken in as much as it is laid down in Section 6 (1) of the Regulation that no declaration unless the compensation for such property is to be paid by a company, or wholly or partly out of public revenues or some fund controlled or managed by a local authority."

Submitted for orders

C.S. I kind attention is invited to 18/12/29 Para 4 of the Mysore Land Requisition (PUD) He wants that the petitioners be asked to contribute the requisite funds for acquiring the property.

Mys 18/12

As stated by the Requisitioning Officer the proceedings can be undertaken only if the persons interested in the property pay for the same. It is not a charge. The Public Department. They may inform the persons concerned accordingly.

7818/18/12

(Illegible) 19/12

For perusal.

The records will be returned to the M.C for taking further action as proposed by him in the last para of the letter

3824

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 066—GPB—80,000—26-7-29

WD 28/12

1st member 28/12/29

24/12

File No. 195 of 1928.

Serial No.	
12	Ltr. sent for appl C.B. 2/14/30
13	2/1/30
34	To Sec Mun Comm No 1335 G. 6-1-30. Returns & records received with the letter in all 33 cases.

2/21/30.

Note—Contn Sheet

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

(572)

Pet. No. 2141

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated... 10 ... 5 ... 1929

Accts 105-28-29

The accompanying petition addressed to the Dewan is forwarded, with compliments, to the Secretary to Government, Mysore ....Department.

16

D 1745

By Order,

Private Secretary to the Dewan.

Diary No. of letter replied to 1745

Section Quetta
File No. 105-28
Serial No. 30.
No. 2613 Quetta dtd

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Quetta)
the 192

To
The Quetta Commissioner, Baluchistan

Date of issue C-2-11-28

Signed by

Sir,

In continuation of this office ~~letter~~ No 472/Quetta/105-28-10 dated the 28th Sept 1928 forwarding for additional or future, if necessary, the petition of certain inhabitants of Arsikere asking for

st of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

over

acquisition of the
house to the west
of the masjid at
the place, I am directed
to forward herewith
for information dated 4.5.1929
on the same subject.

I have &c

J. Coley to Govt

C.S. 6, 1929
24/5/29

12 DEC

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore,....~~11th~~ 12 December..... 1929.

No. 2008 Ens 141 file.
28-9

FROM

Rajakaryapravina
P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
~~The~~ *Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.*

GENL & FEV SECRETARIAT. 13 DEC 1929 D 95-3 MYSORE

To

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Finance Department.

SIR,

1) With reference to your letter No.2669/Muz.105-28-30 dated 29th May 1929 forwarding for report petition of certain inhabitants of Arsikere praying for the acquisition of the house to the west of the Juma Masjid at the place, I have the honor to submit herewith the entire records received with the Hassan District Deputy Commissioner's letter No.Muz.31/29 dated 7th November 1929 (not enclosed) and to state that it is reported that the house under dispute is in the possession of one Gulam who purchased it from one Jahangir Sab who died some years ago and that he is not willing to part with the house.

2) The President Arsikere Municipality who was consulted by the Deputy Commissioner in the matter states that the acquisition of the house is necessary for the mosque, that the house may be acquired if the Local Fund votes the cost of acquisition of the amount but that no amount can be spared from the Municipal funds as it will raise an inconvenient precedent.

3) Thereupon the Deputy Commissioner issued an order to the trustees under section 19 of the Mysore Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulation to file the necessary application as the property belonged to a Wakf if Muzrai Institution. Gulam Sab had no authority to sell the house. Gulam refused the notice and has served an injunction. The Deputy proposes acquisition of the house reciting [illegible]

There are houses on either side of the Masjid and it is understood why objection is taken for this house alone which is regarded as a nuisance only for the past one or two years while it has been in existence for several years past.

4) In almost all important places mosques are situated ---- amidst dwelling houses occupied by persons of different faiths. From the sketch it is seen that the acquisition of the house -- will round off the corner and make the compound of the mosque --- rectangular. But the cost is estimated at Rs.1500/- which the municipality is not prepared to bear as the Mussalmans are said to be too poor to collect above this amount. The institution has only Rs.6/- for odd to its credit. The Deputy Commissioner's proposal to pay this amount out of the state grant allotment for repairs cannot be supported as the grant is meant solely for contribution towards repairs and the construction of fresh buildings in the whole state.

5 In the above circumstances, acquisition proceedings can only be taken if the mosques contribute the requisite funds.

6 In regard to the fifth para, Deputy Commissioner will be asked to move the Municipality accordingly.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant,

Ra Narasimha Ayyangar
for Assistant Commissioner
Huzrat Commissioner.
(Absent from station)

HG

Letter No.Muz.31-29 dated 7th November 1929 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

---

With reference to your letter No.D2.Dis.245 dated 15-9-28 and letter No.2008/28-29 dated 5-6-29 regarding the petitions presented by the Musalman residents of Arsikere town to the Dewan Sahib and to His Highness the Yuvaraja praying that the house -- adjoining the Juma Masjid at Arsikere may be arranged to be --- handed over to the Musjid, as dwelling houses nearby will be a hindrance for worship and calling for a report in the matter, I have the honor to state these gentlemen had also presented a --- similar petition to me.

The petitions were sent to the President Arsikere Municipality for report after inspection of the locality. The President reported that the representations of the Mohammedans in this matter are all true, that the house under dispute is in the possession of one Gangamma and it is ascertained from the owner of the house that she purchased it from one Jahangir Sab who is since dead several years back and that she is not willing to part with the house, and that he placed the matter before the Municipal Council, that the latter considered it necessary to acquire the house but pleaded - their inability to defray the cost of acquisition which is estimated at Rs.1500/- for want of funds.

Thereupon orders were issued to the Amildar Arsikere Taluk to take steps under the Mysore Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulation to evict the owner from the house as the land belonged to the Masjid, a Muzrai Institution, and Jahangir Sab had no --- authority to sell it. The Sub Division Officer, Hassan has reported that this cannot be done as it is more than 6 years since Gangamma got possession of the house.

As the Masjid has no funds at its credit and as the Municipality pleads inability to pay the cost of acquisition, I request that the cost may be paid out of State Grant.

The Vernacular records ending with Hassan Sub Division Office No.C2.1621-28 dated 23-10-29 are herewith submitted for kind perusal.

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

dix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Smyrna

File No. 105 28

Serial No. 14

No. 1225

Diary No. of letter replied to.....953.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Smyrna)

the *192*

To

The Smyrna Consul

at Smyrne, Bangalore

Date of issue.....6-1-1930.....

Signed by.....Mr. T. K. S. Iyer.....

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No 2008/289 dated 11th/12th December 1929 about the arrest of the house to the of the Jamma Masjid at Arsikere, I am directed to return herewith the enclosures

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

Connected with the case.
for taking further action
as proposed by you
in the last para of your
letter

Thanks



2/4/30